

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The application has been amended in response to more clearly describe the present inventions. The pending and amended claims overcome the rejections of claims 1, 2 and 4-31 based on the applied references. No new matter has been added.

PENDING REJECTIONS

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10, 13-20, 22 and 25-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being unpatentable over Eynard *et al.* (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0174050) in view of Araujo *et al.* (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0191799).

Claims 9 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Eynard *et al.*, in view of Araujo *et al.*, further in view of Hanzek (U.S. Patent No. 6,654,726).

Claims 11-12 and 23-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Eynard *et al.*, in view of Araujo *et al.*, further in view of Nwabueze (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0144174).

Claim 31 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Eynard *et al.*, in view of Araujo *et al.*, further in view of Nwabueze.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Applicants respectfully disagree with the propriety of the rejections proposed by the Office Action for independent claims 1, 13, 25 and 27. Specifically, independent claims 1, 13, 25 and 27 each recite the ability of a user to “remotely manipulate or modify at least one report.” Applicants respectfully submits that Eynard *et al.* does not teach or suggest any feature or functionality that enables a user to remotely manipulate or modify at least one report, as recited in amended claims 1, 13, 25 and 27.

Nevertheless, for clarify, Applicants have amended each of the independent claims to recite “at least one report generated by at least one data source based on content in the data source and previously served to a user system with one or more markup language constructs associated with actions to manipulate or modify the at least one report being presented in the report to enable user input, the user input being initiated by activation of a markup language construct associated with the at least one report that was served to the user system” (additions shown).

The citations to Eynard *et al.* alleged by the Examiner to disclose remote manipulation does not mention providing presentations of markup language constructions that are associated with actions to manipulate or modify the at least one report.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Eynard *et al.* does not teach or suggest any feature or functionality relating to the remote manipulation or modification of at least one report, as recited in each of the pending independent claims.

Applicants respectfully submit that in view of the above remarks regarding the rejections under §103, claims 1, 13, 25 and 27 are now allowable over the cited prior art. Each remaining claim depends from either independent claim 1, 13, 25 or 27 and is therefore allowable over the art of record for at least the reasons set forth above.

CONCLUSION

Since the cited references, taken either singly or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the combinations set forth in the pending claims, and further fail to provide any motivation or suggestion of the desirability of modifying the structures or methods to arrive at the claimed combinations, Applicants submit that the pending claims are allowable over the cited references. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw his rejections, allow the pending claims and pass the application to issue.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference or interview would advance prosecution of this application in any manner, the undersigned stands ready to conduct such a conference at the convenience of the Examiner.


If there are any fees due under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 or §1.117 which are not enclosed herewith, including any fees required for extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0206.

Respectfully submitted,

HUNTON & WILLIAMS, LLP

Dated: March 21, 2006
Hunton & Williams, LLP
Intellectual Property Department
1900 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20006-1109
(202) 955-1500 (telephone)
(202) 778-2201 (facsimile)

By: _____


Brian M. Buroker
Registration No. 39,125